

REMARKS

I. Rejections Under 35 USC §112

At page 2 of the Office Action, claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the rejection, the Applicants have added "onto said storage media" to claim 1 to clarify that the "means for printing said images" prints said images "onto said storage media".

Accordingly, the Applicant believes that this rejection has been overcome.

Also at page 2 of the Office Action, claim 37 is rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the rejection, the Applicants have added "to be printed on said label of said storage media" to claim 37 to limit the composite file as being a file that includes said arranged images to be printed on said label of said storage media.

Accordingly, the Applicants believes that this rejection should be withdrawn.

II. Allowable Subject Matter

At page 7, item 9 of the Office Action, claims 13, 26, 30, and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim as well as any intervening claims.

In response to the objections, the system of independent claim 1 has been further amended to include the limitations of claim 13. Thus, claim 1 now includes "means for receiving an indication from a user, said indication indicating the size of said storage media".

Also in response to the objections, the method of independent claim 6 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 13. Thus, claim 6 now includes

"receiving an indication from a user that indicates the size of a label page that includes said label", and claim 13 has been canceled.

Also in response to the objections, the label of independent claim 18 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 26. Thus claim 18 now includes "wherein said images are printed within an area that is substantially larger than the area required to cover at least one side of said storage media", and claim 26 has been canceled.

Also in response to the objections, the storage media of claim 27 has been modified to include the limitations of claim 30. Thus, claim 27 now includes "wherein said second side of said storage media includes microencapsulated fluid droplets that respond to said laser", and claim 30 has been canceled.

Also in response to the objections, the computer readable media of claim 33 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 41. Thus, claim 33 now includes "receiving an indication from a user that indicates the size of said labeling media".


Also in response to the objections, the method of claim 37 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 41. Thus, claim 37 now includes "receiving an indication from a user that indicates the size of said labeling media", and claim 41 has been canceled.

Claims 12, 31-32, and 38 have also been canceled.

III. Additional Fees

It is not believed that additional fees are due at this time; however, if any additional fee is required in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully Submitted,
Thomas C. McFarland et al.

By: 
Jeff D. Limon
Agent for the Applicants
Registration Number 45,418

Hewlett-Packard Company
Legal Department
1000 NE Circle Blvd.
Corvallis, OR 97330
Telephone: (541) 715-5979
Fax: (541) 715-8581